Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/064,973	VIDOLIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William L. Miller	3677
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>William L. Miller</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Hans-Peter Hoffmann</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 April 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 32		
Prior art documents discussed:	•	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Claim 32 was amended to overcome the 112-1 rejection and to		T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
WILLIAM L MILLER		
PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)